cambridge.org/jhl

## **Research Paper**

Cite this article: Atopkin DM, Nakao M, Besprozvannykh VV, Ha ND, Nguyen HV, Sasaki M (2018). Morphological and molecular data for species of *Lecithaster* Lühe, 1901 and *Hysterolecithoides* Yamaguti, 1934 (Digenea: Lecithasteridae) from fish of East Asia and phylogenetic relationships within the Hemiuroidea Looss, 1899. *Journal of Helminthology* 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0022149X18001049

Received: 16 July 2018 Accepted: 29 October 2018

#### Author for correspondence:

D.M. Atopkin, Fax.: +7 4232310193 E-mail: atop82@gmail.com Morphological and molecular data for species of *Lecithaster* Lühe, 1901 and *Hysterolecithoides* Yamaguti, 1934 (Digenea: Lecithasteridae) from fish of East Asia and phylogenetic relationships within the Hemiuroidea Looss, 1899

# D.M. Atopkin<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Nakao<sup>3</sup>, V.V. Besprozvannykh<sup>1</sup>, N.D. Ha<sup>4</sup>, H.V. Nguyen<sup>4</sup> and M. Sasaki<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Federal Scientific Center of the East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity, Far Eastern Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, Far Eastern Federal University, Vladivostok, Russia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Parasitology, Asahikawa Medical University, Midorigaoka-Higashi 2-1, Hokkaido 078-8510, Japan and <sup>4</sup>Institute of Ecology and Biodiversity, Vietnamese Academy of Sciences and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

## Abstract

Four representatives of the genus Lecithaster and one representative of the genus Hysterolecithoides were found during investigation of the trematode fauna of fish species in Vietnamese, Japanese and eastern coastal waters of the Russian Far East. Based on morphometric data, adult trematodes from Vietnamese Strongylura strongylura and Russian Acanthogobius flavimanus were identified as Lecithaster confusus, trematodes from Vietnamese Hemirhamphus marginatus as L. sayori and from osmerid fishes as L. salmonis. Further, a single specimen of Lecithaster sp. and representatives of Hysterolecithoides epinepheli were found in Vietnamese Siganus fuscescens. Morphological and molecular data, including 18S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) V4 fragment, 28S rDNA D1-D3 fragment, internal transcribed spacers (ITS) and a mitochondrial COI gene fragment were analysed for Lecithaster spp. The results revealed that L. sayori and L. salmonis are not synonyms of L. stellatus and L. gibbosus, respectively, but that Hysterolecithoides frontilatus and H. guangdongensis are junior synonyms of H. epinepheli. The 28S-rDNA-based phylogenetic tree of Hemiuroidea showed a distinct position for the genus Lecithaster with internal differentiation into three subclades, including L. confusus, L. sayori and Lecithaster sp. within the first subclade, L. mugilis and L. sudzuhensis within the second subclade and L. salmonis and L. gibbosus within the third subclade. Bayesian phylogenetic reconstructions of Hemiuroidea showed four clades for members of Hemiuridae and Lecithasteridae. The first clade consisted of Hemiuridae representatives and the second clade represented the genus Lecithaster. The third clade included genera Aponurus and Lecithophyllum (Lecithasteridae) and the fourth clade combined members of lecithasterid Quadrifoliovariinae and Hysterolecithinae and hemiurid Opisthadeninae and Bunocotylidae with high statistical support.

## Introduction

The genus Lecithaster Odhner, 1905 contains more than 30 parasite species that infect marine and euryhaline fish species (WoRMS Editorial Board, 2014). Among these, the following eight species have been reported in East Asian and Australian coastal waters from fishes of the order Perciformes Bleeker, 1859: L. atropi Shen, 1987, L. fusiformis Wang, 1991, L. stellatus Looss, 1907 and L. xiamenensis Liu, 1995; of the order Clupeiformes Bleeker, 1959: L. confusus Odhner, 1905, L. setipinnae Qiu and Liang, 1995 and L. clupanodonae Liu, 1995; and of the order Mugiliformes Günther, 1880: L. mugilis Yamaguti, 1970 and L. sudzuhensis Besprozvannykh, Atopkin, Ngo, Ermolenko, Ha, Tang, Beloded, 2017 (Yamaguti, 1934, 1970; Pan, 1984; Bray et al., 1993; Liu et al., 2010; Besprozvannykh et al., 2017). Lecithaster sayori Yamaguti, 1938 and L. tylosuri Li, Qiu and Zang, 1989, which have been described from the order Beloniformes Berg, 1937 from East Asia, were synonymized with L. stellatus (Manter and Pritchard, 1960; Shen and Qiu, 1995), and L. salmonis Yamaguti, 1934 from Salmoniformes Bleeker, 1859, Siluriformes Cuvier, 1817 and Perciformes from Japan (Yamaguti, 1934, 1940) was synonymized with L. gibbosus by Margolis and Boyce (1969). Only morphological data were used to validate most Lecithaster species, including the species mentioned above. Molecular data were obtained only for L. stellatus, L. mugilis, L. gibbosus and L. sudzuhensis (Anderson and Barker, 1998 (direct submission); Cribb et al., 2001; Olson et al., 2003; Besprozvannykh et al., 2017).

© Cambridge University Press 2018



Adult worms from three *Lecithaster* species were found in the intestine of *Strongylura strongylura* (van Hasselt, 1823), *Hemirhamphus marginatus* (Forsskål, 1775) (order Beloniformes) from coastal waters off Cat Ba Island, Vietnam, *Osmerus mordax* (Mitchill, 1814) and *Hypomesus japonicus* (Brevoort, 1856) of the order Osmeriformes (Nelson, Grande and Wilson, 2016) from Japan and *Acanthogobius flavimanus* Temminck and Schlegel, 1845 (order Perciformes) from the southern Russian Far East. Moreover, one unidentified specimen, *Lecithaster* sp., along with *Hysterolecithoides epinepheli* Yamaguti, 1934 were found in Vietnamese *Siganus fuscescens* (Houttuyn, 1782). Morphological and molecular data for these worms, and discussions about species validity and phylogenetic relationships within family Lecithasteridae Odhner, 1905 are provided below.

## **Materials and methods**

## Specimen collection

Adult worms consistent with the genus Lecithaster were found in the intestines of Strongylura strongylura, Hemirhamphus marginatus and Siganus fuscescens in coastal waters off Cat Ba Island, Halong Bay, Vietnam. Osmerus mordax and Hypomesus japonicus were found in Akkeshi Gulf, Hokkaido, Japan and A. flavimanus was found in Lake Lebedinoe, Chasansky district, Primorsky Region, Russia. Adult worms consistent with the genus Hysterolecithoides were found in S. fuscescens in coastal waters off Cat Ba Island, Halong Bay, Vietnam. Worms from fish were rinsed in distilled water, killed in hot distilled water and preserved in 70% ethanol. After fixation, flukes were transferred to 96% ethanol. Whole mounts were prepared for morphometric and metric descriptions by staining the specimens with alum carmine, dehydrating the specimens in a graded ethanol series and cleaning them in clove oil, followed by mounting in Canada balsam under a coverslip on a slide. All sizes are presented in mm. This material is held in the parasitological collection of the Zoological Museum (Federal Scientific Center of the East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia; e-mail: petrova@ibss.dvo.ru).

## Molecular analysis

Adult specimens of *Lecithaster confusus* (n = 8), *L. sayori* (n = 1), *L. salmonis* (n = 3), *Hysterolecithoides epinepheli* (n = 3) and *Lecithaster* sp. (n = 1) were used for molecular analysis (table 1). Total DNA was extracted from flukes, which were fixed in 96% ethanol, using a "hot shot" technique (Truett, 2006).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to amplify 18S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) with the primers 18S-8 (5'-GCA GCC GCG GTA ACT CCA GC-3') and 18S-A27 (5'-CCA TAC AAA TGC CCC CGT CTG-3') as described previously (Littlewood and Olson, 2001). The initial PCR reaction was performed in a total volume of 20 µl and contained 0.25 mM of each primer, approximately 10 ng of total DNA in water, 10X Dream *Taq* buffer, 1.25 mM dNTPs and 1 unit of Dream *Taq* polymerase (Thermo Scientific, USA). Amplification of a 2000 base pair (bp) fragment of 18S rDNA was performed in a GeneAmp 9700 (Applied Biosystems, USA) with a 5-minute denaturation at 96°C, 35 cycles of 1 minute at 96°C, 20 s at 58°C and 5 minutes at 72°C and a 10-minute extension at 72°C. Negative and positive controls using both primers were included.

28S rDNA was amplified with the primers DIG12 (5'-AAG CAT ATC ACT AAG CGG-3') and 1500R (5'-GCT ATC CTG AGG GAA ACT TCG-3') as described previously (Tkach *et al.*, 2003). The master mix for the PCR reaction was identical to that described above for 18S rDNA. Amplification of a 1200 bp fragment of 28S rDNA was performed in a GeneAmp 9700 (Applied Biosystems, USA) with a 3-minute denaturation at 94°C, 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C and 2 minutes at 72°C and a 7-minute extension at 72°C. Negative and positive controls using both primers were included.

A ribosomal ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 fragment was amplified with primers BD1 (5'-GTC GTA ACA AGG TTT CCG TA-3') and BD2 (5'-TAT GCT TAA ATT CAG CGG GT-3') (Luton *et al.*, 1992) with an annealing temperature of 54°C. Negative and positive controls using both primers were included. A mitochondrial COI gene fragment was amplified and directly sequenced with primers Trema-cox1/F (5'-TTCGGTCATCCTGAGGTTTATG TT-3') and Trema-cox1/R (5'- CAGCAAATCATGATGCA AAAGGTA-3').

PCR products were directly sequenced using the ABI Big Dye Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA), as recommended by the manufacturer, with the internal sequencing primers described by Littlewood and Olson (2001) for 18S rDNA, Tkach *et al.* (2003) for 28S rDNA and Luton *et al.* (1992) for the ITS2 rDNA fragment. PCR products were analysed using an ABI 3130xl genetic analyser at the Department of Cell Biology, Far Eastern Federal University. Sequences were submitted to GenBank of the NCBI database with the accession numbers listed in table 1.

rDNA sequences were assembled with SeqScape v. 2.6 software. Alignments and estimation of the number of variable sites and sequence differences were performed using MEGA 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016). Phylogenetic analyses of the nucleotide sequences were performed using the Bayesian algorithm with MrBayes v. 3.1.2 software (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001). The best nucleotide substitution models, TIM3+G, TPM3uf+I+G and TPM1uf+G for ribosomal 28S, ITS2 and mitochondrial COI gene fragment, respectively, were estimated with jModeltest v. 2.1.5 software (Darriba et al., 2012). Bayesian analysis was performed using 10,000,000 generations, with two independent runs. Summary parameters and the phylogenetic tree were calculated with a burnin of 1,500,000 generations. The significance of the phylogenetic relationships was estimated using posterior probabilities (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001). The phylogenetic relationships among the species of Lecithasteridae were inferred from our data, along with the nucleotide sequences of the 18S rDNA, 28S rDNA, ITS2 rDNA and COI gene fragment of mitochondrial DNA of other trematode specimens obtained from the NCBI GenBank database (tables 1 and 2).

## Results

### Lecithaster confusus Odhner, 1905

Host. Strongylura strongylura (van Hasselt, 1824), Belonidae.

*Locality*. Coastal water off Cat Ba Island, Halong Bay, northern Vietnam (20°84'N, 106°59'E).

Intensity of infection. 1-17 worms per fish.

Other host. Acanthogobius flavimanus (Temminck & Schlegel, 1845), Gobiidae Cuvier, 1816.

## Table 1. List of Lecithasteridae incorporated into molecular analysis.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 185.57.30.135, on 26 Nov 2018 at 11:36:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X18001049

available at

						GenBan	k accession number	
Species	Subfamily	Ν	Definitive host	Source	V4 18S	28S	ITS2	COI
Lecithaster confusus	Lecithasterinae	5	Strongylura strongylura	This study	-	MH625968-MH625972	MH625982-MH625986	MH625996-MH626000
L. confusus	Lecithasterinae	4*	Acanthogobius flavimanus	This study	_	MH625973-MH625976	MH625987-MH625990	MH626001-MH626003
L. salmonis	Lecithasterinae	2*	Osmerus mordax	This study	-	MH625979-MH625980	MH625993	MH626006-MH626007
L. salmonis	Lecithasterinae	1	Hypomesus japonicus	This study	-	MH625981	MH625994	MH626008
L. sayori	Lecithasterinae	1	Hemirhamphus marginatus	This study	_	MH625977	MH625991	MH626004
L. species	Lecithasterinae	1	Siganus fuscescens	This study	-	MH625978	MH625992	MH626005
L. gibbosus	Lecithasterinae	1	Merlangius merlangus	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	-	AY222199	-	-
L. stellatus	Lecithasterinae	1	Acanthurus triostegus	Anderson and Barker (1998)	-	_	AJ224749	_
Lecithaster mugilis	Lecithasteridae, Lecithasterinae	1	Valamugil seheli	Besprozvannykh et al. (2017)	-	LN865016	n/a	n/a
L. sudzuhensis	Lecithasteridae, Lecithasterinae	1	Mugil cephalus	Besprozvannykh et al. (2017)	-	LN865022	n/a	n/a
Aponurus laguncula	Lecithasterinae	1	Rhomboplites aurorubens	Claxton et al. (2017)	-	KU52	27430	_
Aponurus sp.	Lecithasterinae	1	Mullus surmuletus	Pankov et al. (2006);		DQ354368	_	_
Aponurus sp.	Lecithasterinae	1	Mullus barbatus	Carreras-Aubets et al. (2011)	-	HQ713441	HQ713442	_
Lecithophyllum botryophorum	Lecithasterinae	1	Alepocephalus bairdii	Olson et al. (2003) (as Lecithophyllum botryophorum)	-	AY222205		-
Hysterolecithoides epinepheli	Hysterolecithinae	3*	Siganus fuscescens	This study	-	MH625962- MH625964	MH625965- MH625967	MH625995
H. frontilatum	Hysterolecithinae	1	Unknown	Blair <i>et al.</i> (1998)	AF029813	-	-	-
H. dandongensis	Hysterolecithinae	1	Siganus fuscescens	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2010, unpublished)	HM545901	-	HM545900	-
Bilacinia australis	Lecithasteridae, Quadrifoliovariinae	1	Apolemichthys arcuatus	Chambers and Cribb (2006)	-	AY897568	AY897562	-
<u>Quadrifoliovarium</u> <u>maceria</u>	Lecithasteridae, Quadrifoliovariinae	1	Naso tonganus	Chambers and Cribb (2006)	-	AY897566	AY897560	-
Q. pritchardae	Lecithasteridae, Quadrifoliovariinae	1	Naso unicornis	Chambers and Cribb (2006)	-	AY897567	AY897561	-
Q. quattuordecim	Lecithasteridae, Quadrifoliovariinae	1	Naso tonganus	Chambers and Cribb (2006)	-	AY897565	AY897559	-
<u>Q. simplex</u>	Lecithasteridae, Quadrifoliovariinae	1	Naso tonganus	Chambers and Cribb (2006)	-	AY897564	AY897558	-
<u>Unilacinia</u> assymmetrica	Lecithasteridae, Quadrifoliovariinae	1	Naso annulatus	Chambers and Cribb (2006)	-	AY897569	AY897563	-

 Table 2. List of trematodes of Hemiuroidea, incorporated into molecular analysis of 28S rDNA from GenBank. Molecular data for Lecithasteridae are presented in table 1.

Species	Family/subfamily	Number of specimens	Source	GenBank accession number
Gonocerca crassa	Gonocercidae	1	Sokolov et al. (2018a)	KY197012
G. muraenolepisi	Gonocercidae	3	Sokolov et al. (2016)	HF543941; LN650651; LN865025;
G. oshoro	Gonocercidae	1	Sokolov et al. (2018a)	KY197013
G. phycidis	Gonocercidae	1	Sokolov et al. (2018a)	KY197009
Hemipera manteri	Gonocercidae	1	Olson et al. (2003) (as Hemiperina manteri)	AY222196
Derogenes varicus	Derogenidae, Derogeninae	1	Olson <i>et al</i> . (2003)	AY222189
Thometrema lotzi	Derogenidae, Halipeginae	1	Calhoun et al. (2013)	KC985236
Aphanurus mugilis	Hemiuridae, Aphanurinae	1	Atopkin <i>et al.</i> (2017)	LT607807
Dinurus longisinus	Hemiuridae, Dinurinae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222202
Lecithochirium microstomum	Hemiuridae, Lecithochiriinae	1	Calhoun et al. (2013)	KC985235
Lecithocladium excisum	Hemiuridae, Elytrophallinae	1	Olson <i>et al</i> . (2003)	AY222203
Plerurus digitatus	Hemiuridae, Plerurinae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222201
Merlucciotrema praeclarum	Family unknown	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222204
Opisthadena dimidia	Bunocotylidae, Opisthadeninae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222198
Machidatrema chilostoma	Bunocotylidae, subfamily unknown	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222197
Bunocotyle progenetica	Bunocotylidae, Bunocotylinae	1	Pankov et al. (2006)	DQ354365
Robinia aurata	Bunocotylidae, Bunocotylinae	1	Pankov et al. (2006)	DQ354367
Saturnius gibsoni	Bunocotylidae, Bunocotylinae	1	Marzoug et al. (2014)	KJ010542
Saturnius minutus	Bunocotylidae, Bunocotylinae	1	Pankov et al. (2006) (as Saturnius sp.)	DQ354366
Accacoelium contortum	Accacoeliidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222190
Copiatestes filiferus	Syncoeliidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222188
Didymocystis scomberomori	Didymozoidae	1	Schrandt <i>et al.</i> (2016)	KU341979
Didymozoid sp.	Didymozoidae	2	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222192, AY222194
Didymozoon scombri	Didymozoidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222195
Hirudinella ahi	Hirudinellidae	1	Calhoun <i>et al.</i> (2013)	KC985238
Hirudinella ventricosa	Hirudinellidae	1	Calhoun et al. (2013)	KC985232
Prosogonotrema bilabiatum	Sclerodistomidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222191
Outgroup				
Transversotrema haasi	Transversotrematidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222186
Crusziella formosa	Transversotrematidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222185
Prototransversotrema steeri	Transversotrematidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222184
Otodistomum cestoides	Azygiidae	1	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2003)	AY222187

*Locality.* Lake Lebedinoe, Chasansky district, Primorsky Region, Russia (42°34'N, 130°41'E).

Intensity of infection. 15 worms per fish.

Site. Intestine.

## Description (based on 8 specimens; fig. 1a; table 3)

Body fusiform, smooth. Pre-oral lobe present. Oral sucker subterminal, prepharynx absent, pharynx spherical, oesophagus short. Intestinal bifurcation immediately anterior or 0.065– 0.154 mm from ventral sucker. Caeca reach level of middle vitellarium to middle postvitelline region. Ventral sucker large, on border of anterior and middle thirds of body. Testes round, symmetrical and located in middle of body close to ventral sucker. Seminal vesicle saccate, between level of middle of ventral sucker and anterior margin of testes. Pars prostatica elongated, lined with vesicular cells and surrounded by numerous prostatic cells. Sinus sac oval, between anterior edge of ventral sucker and intestinal bifurcation, penetrated by hermaphroditic duct. Genital pore median, located at level of intestinal bifurcation. Pit connected to thin-walled sac located on median line posterior to genital pore. Ovary consists of four round lobes, between posterior border of testis and anterior margin of vitellarium. Seminal receptacle round, dorsal to ovary. Vitellarium consists of seven drop-shaped,



Fig. 1. Adult worms of Lecithasterinae Odhner, 1905 and Hysterolecithinae Yamaguti, 1958. (a) Lecithaster confusus Odhner, 1905. (b) L. sayori Yamaguti, 1938. (c) L. salmonis Yamaguti, 1934. (d) Hysterolecithoides epinepheli Yamaguti, 1934. (e) Terminal genitalia H. epinepheli: 1–3 ventral; 4 & 5 lateral. Vs, ventral sucker.

oval or elongated oval lobe located immediately posteroventral to ovary. Anterior lobes of vitellarium partially overlap ovary. Uterine loops located between posterior margin of ventral sucker and posterior end of body. Eggs small, oval and operculated. Excretory bladder Y-shaped, excretory pore terminal.

## Lecithaster sayori Yamaguti, 1938

Host. Hemirhamphus marginatus (Fosskåi, 1775), Hemiramphidae Gill, 1859.

*Locality*. Coastal water off Cat Ba Island, Halong Bay, northern Vietnam (20°84'N, 106°59'E).

Intensity of infection. 2 worms per fish.

Site. Intestine.

## Description (based on 1 specimen; fig. 1b; table 3)

Body is fusiform, smooth. Pre-oral lobe present. Oral sucker subterminal, prepharynx absent, pharynx spherical, oesophagus short. Intestinal bifurcation immediately anterior to ventral sucker. Caeca reach to posterior third of post-vitelline region. Ventral sucker in middle of anterior half of body. Testes round, symmetrical, adjacent or close to ventral sucker. Seminal vesicle transversely oval, between level of middle of ventral sucker and anterior margin of testes. Pars prostatica elongated, passes to right of median line of body, lined with vesicular cells and surrounded by numerous prostatic cells. Sinus sac oval and located anterior of ventral sucker, at level of pharynx-intestinal bifurcation, penetrated by hermaphroditic duct. Genital pore median, at pharynx level. Ovary consists of four round lobes, median line in middle third of body. Seminal receptacle round, dorsally

Table 3.	Measurements	for	adult	worms	Lecithasteridae.

			L. confusus (	Overstreet, 1971)						
	Lecithaster. confusus present study	<i>L. confusus</i> (on Odhner, 1905 from Olmo <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	Host Micropogon undulatus	Host Alosa chrysochloris	L. confusus (Olmo et al., 2006)	<i>L. stelatus</i> (on Loos 1907 from Skrjabin, 1954)	<i>L. stelatus</i> (Yamaguti, 1934, 1953)*	L. stelatus (Bray et al., 1993)	L. sayori present study	<i>L. sayori</i> (Yamaguti, 1938)
Body	0.970-1.478 x 0.323-0.539	1.000-1.500 x 0.300-0.500	0.379-1.299 x 0.155-0.485	1.282–1.695 x 580–754	0.469-0.515 x 0.117-0.146	0.780-1.300 x 0.320-0.470	0.750-1.420 x 0.200-0.550	0.542–1.100 x 0.161–0.296	1.833 x 0.508	1.25–2.1 x 0.3–0.55
Oral sucker	0.081-0.131 x 0.086-0.177	130-160	0.049-0.126 x 0.055-0.122	0.136-0.162 x 0.139-0.171	0.058-0.075 x 0.054-0.72	0.080-0.120 x 0.126	0.075-0.123 x 0.084-0.138	0.060-0.096 x 0.067-0.111	0.185 x 0.208	0.14–0.18 in diameter
Pharynx	0.062–0.096 x 0.057–0.085	0.070-0.085	0.032-0.073 x 0.033-0.073	0.070-0.090 x 0.073-0.087.	0.035 x 0.030	0.073-0.07?	0.038-0.070 x 0.042-0.072	0.040-0.076 x 0.039-0.067	0.139 x 0.123	0.078-0.100 x 0.072-0.090
Ventral sucker	0.154-0.231 x 0.200-0.293	0.230-0.300	0.110-0.252 x 0.102-0.232	0.291-0.360 x 0.261-0.316.	0.082-0.096 x 0.085-0.096	0.150-0.260 x 0.170-0.380	0.130-0.210 x 0.130-0.230	0.110-0.167 x 0.142-0.196	0.227 x 0.235	0.2–0.3 in diameter
Oesophagus length	0-0.081	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.012	-
Forebody (% from body length)	0.235–0.435 (23.2–34.7)	-	(21.0-37.0)	-	0.162-0.179 (34.5-34-8)	-	-	(25–33)	0.447 24.4%	-
Sinus sac	0.042-0.104 x 0.039-0.077	0.080-0.110	0.029–0.067 x 0.019–0.049	0.055-0.110 x 0.046-0.073.	0.048 x 0.014-0.019	0.074-0.110 x 0.068-0.074	0.040-0.080	0.044-0.073 x 0.031-0.055	0.116 x 0.096	0.080–0.093 x 0.050–0.063
Pars prostatica	0.154-0.262	-	-	-	0.048-0.128	-	-	-	0.250	-
Left testis	0.096-0.200 x 0.096-0.212	-	0.038-0.157 x 0.036-0.116	0.116-0.218 x 0.106-0.220.	0.050 x 0.040	0.084 x 0.130 0.100-0.120 x	0.070-0.210 x 0.070-0.150	0.048-0.101 x 0.049-0.076	0.231 x 0.185 0.193 x 0.185	0.12-0.21 x 0.09-0.125
Right testis	0.116-0.200 x 0.104-0.193	-	0.044-0.139 x 0.038-0.133	0.128-0.197 x 0.102-0.212.	0.043-0.051 x 0.045-0.046	0.130-0.140				
Ovary	0.096-0.243 x 0.085-0.250	-	0.067–0.255 x 0.057–0.177	0.194–0.316 x 0.093–0.249	0.048-0.083 x 0.040-0.058	0.130 x 0.150	0.24-0.31 x 0.18	0.095-0.208 x 0.088-0.143	0.270 x 0.258	
Vitellarium	0.142–0.270 x 0.123–0.270	width 0.250	-		0.074–0.077 x 0.056–0.074			0.155-0.253 x 0.093-0.142	0.493 x 0.289	
Eggs	0.0154-0.0169 x 0.0092-0.0108	0.015-0.017 x 0.007	0.015-0.023 x 0.009-0.015	0.015-0.022 x 0.009-0.014	0.018-0.019 x 0.010-0.012	0.015-0.018 x 0.010-0.012	0.015-0.018 x 0.009-0.012	0.011-0.016 x 0.008-0.010	0.015–0.019 x 0.0077–0.012	0.018 x 0.012
Sucker length ratio	1.44-2.05	1.75	1.7-2.3	1.8-2.0	1.33-1.57	-	-	1.77-2.12	1.23	-
Sucker width ratio	1.50-2.47								1.13	
Postvitellin region length, (%)	0.162–0.474 (16.2–32.1)	-	(6.0–28.0)	-	(7.8–13.6)	-	-	(20–30)	0.620 (33.8)	-

\*Generalized data

to ovary. Vitellarium ventral to ovary, consists of seven elongated lobes; central part of vitellarium at level of central part of ovary. Uterus loops between posterior margin of ventral sucker and posterior end of body. Eggs small, oval, operculated. Excretory bladder Y-shaped, excretory pore terminal.

### Lecithaster salmonis Yamaguti, 1934

Hosts. Osmerus mordax and Hypomesus japonicus.

Locality. Akkeshi Gulf, Hokkaido Japan (43°02'N, 144°85'E).

Intensity of infection. 7 specimens per fish.

Site. Intestine.

Description (based on 4 specimens; fig. 1; table 3)

Body elongated oval, smooth. Pre-oral lobe present. Oral sucker subterminal, prepharynx absent, pharynx spherical, oesophagus short. Intestinal bifurcation 0.119-0.193 mm from ventral sucker. Caeca reach vitellarium level. Ventral sucker in middle of anterior half of body. Testes round, symmetrical, close to posterior margin of ventral sucker. Seminal vesicle elongated, at level of ventral sucker. Pars prostatica elongated, lined with vesicular cells and surrounded by numerous prostatic cells. Sinus-like sac oval, immediately posterior to intestinal bifurcation, penetrated by hermaphroditic duct. Genital pore median, immediately posterior to or at the level of intestinal bifurcation. Ovary consists of four round or oval lobes, at median line immediately posterior to testes. Seminal receptacle oval, dorsal to ovary. Vitellarium consists of seven drop-shaped lobes, located in posterior third of body, post-ovarian. Uterus loops between middle of ventral sucker and posterior end of body. Eggs oval, operculated. Excretory bladder Y-shaped, excretory pore terminal.

## Hysterolecithoides epinepheli Yamaguti, 1934

Host. Siganus fuscescens (Houttuyn, 1782).

*Locality.* Coastal water off Cat Ba Island, Halong Bay, northern Vietnam (20°84'N, 106°59'E).

Site. Intestine.

Intensity of infection. 17 specimens per fish.

### Description (based on 9 specimens; fig. 1; table 3)

Body fusiform, smooth. Pre-oral lobe present. Oral sucker subterminal, prepharynx absent, pharynx spherical, oesophagus short. Intestinal bifurcation located 0.246-0.674 mm from ventral sucker. Caeca reach close to posterior edge of body; terminations asymmetrical in post-uterine region. Ventral sucker large, on border of anterior and posterior half of body. Testes round or transversely oval, symmetrical, close to posterior margin of ventral sucker. Seminal vesicle tubular, sinuous, at middle of ventral sucker level. Pars prostatica sinuous, sigmoid, lined with vesicular cells and surrounded by numerous prostatic cells, apex loops dorsally over distal part of sinus sac to level of posterior end or middle of sinus sac. Sinus sac oval, pre-acetabular, penetrated by hermaphroditic duct. Genital pore median. Pit connected with thin-walled sac located on median line anterior to genital pore. Gland cells close to pit. Genital pore and pit open separately into genital atrium. Ovary transversely oval, between posterior border of testis and anterior margin of vitellarium. Juel's organ large and oval or elongated, located left of vitellarium. Vitellarium consists of 3-4 drop-shaped lobes (one specimen

was three-lobed), immediately posterior to ovary. Uterus reaches close to posterior extremity. Eggs small, oval, operculated. Excretory bladder Y-shaped, subterminal. Excretory pore opens ventrally.

## Molecular analysis

Three phylogenetic trees for Lecithasteridae were reconstructed with Bayesian analysis based on a 28S rDNA fragment (872 bp), an ITS2 rDNA fragment (448 bp) and a mitochondrial COI gene fragment (686 bp), respectively. The 28S-rDNA-based phylogenetic tree was subdivided into four clades. Clade I represents the monophyletic genus Lecithaster (fig. 2). Within this clade, there are two subclades. The first consists of L. confusus, L. sayori, L. mugilis, L. sudzuhensis and Lecithaster sp.; L. confusus and L. sayori are closely related to each other and Lecithaster sp. is basal to these two species. Lecithaster mugilis and L. sudzuhensis are closely related to each other. The second subclade includes L. salmonis from Japan and L. gibbosus from the United Kingdom. Clade II consists of representatives of the genus Aponurus and Lecithophyllum botryophorum, which are closely related to each other with high statistical support. Clade III comprises all specimens of H. epinepheli. from S. fuscescens. Clade IV includes species of four genera: Quadrifoliovarium, Bilacinia, Unilacinia and Machidatrema. Within this clade, Quadrifoliovarium and Bilacinia are closely related to each other, and Unilacinia assymetrica appears as a sister taxon to these two genera. Machidatrema chilostoma is basal for clade IV.

Lecithasterid species were subdivided into three main clades and form a polytomy in the ITS-rDNA-based phylogenetic tree (fig. 3). Clade I represents the genus *Lecithaster*. Within this clade, there are three subclades. The first subclade consists of the evidently closely related *L. confusus* + *L. sayori* as well as closely related *L. stellatus* + *Lecithaster* sp. The second subclade comprises *L. sudzuhensis* + *L. mugilis*, and the third subclade comprises only Japanese *L. salmonis*. *Aponurus laguncula* forms clade II. Clade III contains representatives of the genera *Quadrifoliovarium*, *Monorchimacradena*, *Bilacinia*, *Unilacinia* and *Hysterolecithoides*. Within this clade, *Hysterolecithoides epinepheli* from our study is identical to *H. guangdongensis*. The genus *Hysterolecithoides* appears as a sister taxon relative to other species within clade III.

The phylogenetic tree based on nucleotide sequences from a COI gene fragment (fig. 4) includes only species of *Lecithaster* and *Hysterolecithoides* from this study. Separate lineages correspond to these two genera. The genus *Lecithaster* has a polytomy with four clades that represent *L. confusus* (clade I), *Lecithaster* sp. and *L. salmonis* (clade II), *L. sayori* (clade III) and *L. mugilis* + *L. sudzuhensis* (clade IV), respectively. *Hysterolecithoides epinepheli* appears as a sister taxon to *Lecithaster*.

Ribosomal 18S rDNA gene V4 region sequences (291 bp) of *H. epinepheli*, *H. frontilatum* and *H. guangdongensis* were highly similar to each other. Only one variable site (no. 108) was detected (fig. 5).

## Discussion

## *Taxonomic conclusion with respect to* Lecithaster *and* Hysterolecithoides *species*

Representatives of the genus *Lecithaster*, like most worms that belong to the same genus, can resemble each other closely,



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic relationships of the family Lecithasteridae obtained with the Bayesian algorithm, based on partial 28S rRNA gene sequences. Nodal numbers are posterior probabilities that indicate statistical support of phylogenetic relationships.

making species identification based solely on morphometric analysis difficult. Trematodes from Vietnamese *S. strongylura* resemble *L. confusus* and *L. stellatus*, based on metric index values (table 3). Morphologically, and by organ arrangements, particularly the vitellarium lobe form and position, these trematodes resemble *L. confusus* and *L. stellatus* from the study of Yamaguti (1953), in which the lobes were flask-like and the vitellarium was posteroventrally to the ovary. Vietnamese worms, however, were different from *L. stellatus* specimens with respect to these two parameters, as reported by Looss (1908, cited in Skrjabin, 1954) and Bray *et al.* (1993). These authors indicated that the vitellarium with elongated lobes was partially (Looss, 1908, cited in Skrjabin, 1954) or completely (Bray *et al.*, 1993) at the level of the ovary.

Lecithaster confusus has been described in Alosa finta (Clupeiformes) from Egypt by Odhner, 1905 (cited in Skrjabin, 1954). Subsequently, this trematode species was detected in representatives of six fish orders from northern European waters, the Atlantic coast of North America, and the Mediterranean and Black seas (Skrjabin, 1954; Pérez-del-Olmo *et al.*, 2006) and in East Asia off the Chinese coast (Pan, 1984). Lecithaster stellatus was originally detected from the intestines of perciform fishes from the coastal waters of Triest, Mediterranean Sea (Skrjabin, 1954). Later, it was found in beloniform species from coastal waters of Japan (Yamaguti, 1934), Australia (Bray *et al.*, 1993) and China (Liu *et al.*, 2010). Thus, both *L. confusus* and *L. stellatus* are reported to exhibit a wide host range and overlapping distributions. At the same time, data from different authors reveal discrepancies in morphological characteristics of these species. Results from studies of L. confusus collected from Micropogon undulatus Linnaeus, 1766 and Alosa chrysochloris Rafinesque, 1820 from the Gulf of Mexico (Overstreet, 1973) and Boops boops Linnaeus, 1758 from Malpica, Spain (Perez-del-Olmo et al., 2006) confirm considerable variation in morphometric indices of these worms (table 3) that may be caused by trematode infection of fish with different taxonomic affiliations. On the other hand, morphometric differences possibly indicate that these specimens belong to separate species. Molecular data are necessary to resolve this ambiguity. There is a single nucleotide sequence for L. stellatus from in or near Moreton Bay, eastern Australia (Anderson and Barker, 1998). Comparative analysis among ITS rDNA nucleotide sequences from L. stellatus from GenBank and Lecithaster from Vietnamese S. strongylura in our study indicates that these trematodes belong to different species (fig. 3). Based on these data and morphometric analysis, we conclude that trematodes from Vietnamese S. strongylura belong to L. confusus.

Lecithaster sayori Yamaguti, 1938 has been found in *Hyporhamphus sajori* Temminck and Schlegel, 1846 in Hamana Lake, Japan, which is connected to the sea (Yamaguti, 1938). Manter and Pritchard (1960) recognized *L. sayori* as a synonym of *L. stellatus* based on morphometric similarity. A unique feature of *L. sayori*, however, is the arrangement of the medial vitellarium at the same level as the ovary and elongated follicles of the vitellarium. Metrical (table 3) and morphological data, including



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic relationships of the family Lecithasteridae obtained with the Bayesian algorithm, based on ITS2 rDNA gene sequences. Nodal numbers are posterior probabilities that indicate statistical support of phylogenetic relationships.

vitellarium arrangement and vitellarium lobe form, for trematodes from Vietnamese *H. marginatus* from our study indicated that these worms were identical to *L. sayori* described by Yamaguti and *L. stellatus* reported by Bray *et al.* (1993). Phylogenetic analysis showed that Vietnamese trematodes differed from *L. stellatus*, deposited in GenBank, in their ITS rDNA nucleotide sequences. This finding confirmed the validity of Vietnamese trematodes (fig. 3). Thus, based on the morphological similarity of Vietnamese trematodes to *L. sayori*, and molecular data, *L. sayori* and *L. stellatus* were apparently synonymized unreasonably.

Wang (1999) described a new *Lecithaster* species, namely *L. fusiformis* from Chinese *S. fuscescens.* Morphometrically, these worms resemble both *L. stellatus* reported in Bray *et al.* (1993) and *L. sayori.* However, as there are no molecular data for *L. stellatus* from Bray *et al.* (1993) or for *L. fusiformis* it is not possible to clarify the taxonomic status of these worms.

Lecithaster salmonis, as well as other Lecithaster species, are often low-specificity fish parasites that have been detected in fish orders Salmoniformes, Siluriformes and Perciformes from Japan (Yamaguti, 1934, 1940). In this study, this species was found in Japanese osmerid fishes. These worms were identical to specimens morphologically (fig. 1) and metrically (table 3) described by Yamaguti (1934). Molecular data confirmed the validity of *L. salmonis* from this study. In our opinion, synonymization of this species with *L. gibbosus* is unreasonable.

Hysterolecithoides epinepheli was first described in Epinephelus akaara from Japan and also detected in the fish genera Caranx Lacépède, 1801 and Siganus Forsskål, 1775 (Yamaguti, 1934, 1953; Bray and Cribb, 2000). Adult H. frontilatus (Manter, 1969) that were morphologically and metrically (table 3) similar to H. epinepheli have been described from Siganus from New Caledonia (Manter, 1969; Bray and Cribb, 2000). Based on morphological analysis of *H. epinepheli* and *H. frontilatus* specimens from different areas and host species, Bray and Cribb (2000) concluded that these species are valid. They showed that these worms were identical with respect to most metric and morphological characters, including 3-6 vitellarium lobes and a pit anterior to the genital pore. A single difference between these worms was the location of the distal part of the pars prostatica. For H. epinepheli it was always posterior to the sinus sac, whereas for H. frontilatus it loops dorsally to the sinus sac. Among the worms collected from Vietnamese Siganus, we found specimens that had either the first or second variant of the distal part of the



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic relationships of the genus *Lecithaster* obtained with the Bayesian algorithm, based on partial mitochondrial COI gene sequences. Nodal numbers are posterior probabilities that indicate statistical support of phylogenetic relationships.

pars prostatica position. Herewith, the first variant of the position of the distal part of the pars prostatica is usually at the lateral arrangement of worms, while the second is at the dorsoventral level. Moreover, the distal part of the pars prostatica is usually posterior from the sinus sac for young, small specimens with a poorly developed uterus. According to Yamaguti (1953), the pars prostatica of *H. epinepheli* is sigmoid (S-shaped curve), similar to other Vietnamese worms. A sigmoidal pars prostatica occurs when the worm is in a lateral position. When the worm is in a ventral position, the distal pars prostatica is loop-like. Shared areas, the same definitive host species and morphometric similarity indicate that H. epinepheli and H. frontilatus belong to the same species. Molecular data confirm the conspecificity of these worms, indicating high identity of ribosomal 18S rDNA gene V4 region sequences of these species (fig. 5). Based on these results, we believe that worms collected from Vietnamese Siganus in this study belong to H. epinepheli, and H. frontilatus is the junior synonym of H. epinepheli. In addition, H. guangdongensis (Wu, 2000) from Chinese Siganus oramin (Bloch & Schneider) is identical to H. epinepheli in morphometric indices. Molecular data support that these worms belong to the same species (figs 3 and 5). Based on these data we conclude that H. guangdongensis is a synonym of H. epinepheli.

## Interrelationships of Lecithasteridae

All phylogenetic trees showed a distinct position of the genus *Lecithaster* within the Hemiuroidea. In the 28S-rDNA-based phylogenetic reconstruction, this genus formed a clade with internal differentiation into three subclades: *L. confusus*, *L. sayori* + *Lecithaster* sp. within the first, *L. mugilis* + *L. sudzuhensis* within the second and *L. salmonis* + *L. gibbosus* within the third (fig. 6).

Phylogenetic studies of hemiurid and lecithasterid trematodes demonstrate an association between molecular differentiation and definitive host-specificity within different trematode groups and provide an explanation from the point of view of hostswitching processes (León-Règagnon, 1998; León-Règagnon *et al.*, 1998). Numerous data show that a number of representatives of *Lecithaster* infect a wide range of definitive host species. However, molecular-based phylogenetic reconstructions (figs 2 and 3) indicate that members of the subclades recognized here generally display a distinct host preference. For example, *L. mugilis* + *L. sudzuhensis* have been reported only from mugilid fish species (Besprozvannykh *et al.*, 2017), and *L. salmonis* and *L. gibbosus* infect mainly salmonids, but also species of Beloniformes, Clupeiformes, Gadiformes, Perciformes and other orders (Skrjabin, 1954). *Lecithaster confusus* from the first subclade has

Site number	1	2 :	34	5	6	78	9	1	11	1	1	1	1 1	1 1	2	2 2	2 2 2 3	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	3 3	33	3	3 4	3	33	3	34	44	4
								-		· ·	Č	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	_	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	· ·	-			Ŭ
HM545901 Hysterolecithoides guangdongensis	T	C	ΓG	G	G	r G	G	C /	AT	G	G	CO	CA	C	A	CG	C	С	GT	G	T	т	G A	A	G	Τ.	A	СТ	T	C C	TT	Т
AF029813 H. frontilatum	т	C	ΓG	G	G	r G	G	C /	AT	G	G	CO	CA	C	A	CG	C	С	GI	G	т	т	G A	A	G	Т.	A	СТ	т	C C	ТТ	Т
H. epinepheli	T	C	T G	G	G	r G	G	C /	AT	G	G	<u>c</u> (	CA	C C	A	CG	C	С	GI	G	Т	т	G A	A	G	Τ.	<u>A (</u>	СТ	Т	c c	TT	Т
Site number	4	4 · 5 ·	4 4 6 7	4 8	4 9 0	5 5 5 1	5 2	5 3	55 45	5 6	5 7	5 8	5 6 9 0	56 )1	6 2	6 ( 3 4	56 45	6 6	6 6	56 39	7 0	7 1	2:	77 34	7 5	7 6	7 7	77 89	8 0	8 8	888 234	8 5
HM545901 Hysterolecithoides guangdongensis	Α	Т	сс	т	Α.	ΓG	G	A	A A	A	Т	G	GA	A	Α.	ΤТ	т	С	c c	G	G	т	G	G C	G	Т	G	ЗT	Т	GΤ	GT	Т
AF029813 H. frontilatum	Α	т	СС	т	Α.	ΓG	G	A /	A A	A	T	G	GΑ	A	Α.	τт	т	С	СС	G	G	т	GO	C C	G	Т	G	ЗT	Т	GΤ	GT	т
H. epinepheli	Α	т	СС	т	Α.	ΓG	G	A	AA	A	T	G	GΑ	A	Α.	ΤТ	т	С	СС	G	G	т	G	C C	G	Т	G	ЗT	Т	GΤ	GT	т
Site number	8 6	8	88 89	9 0	99	9 9 2 3	9 4	9 5	99 67	9 8	9 9	1 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0	1 0 4	1 0 0	1 1	1 0 8	1 .	1 1	1 1 2	1 1 3	1 .	1 1	1	1	1 1 9	1122	1 2 2	1 1 2 2 3	222	1 2 7
HM545901 H. quanadongensis	Δ	C	ст	т	т	т	G	C (	C G	т	G	Ť	ĊŤ	G	TO	G T	T	č		Δ	G	G 1	r 0		Ť	G	<u>,</u>		Ť	0.0	GT	ć
AF029813 H frontilatum	2	c	CT	÷	÷ d	т	G	c i	C G	Ť	G	÷ a	ст	G	Ť.	GT	÷	Ť	A 0		G	G		i c	÷	G	G (		Ť,	c c	GT	č
H eninenheli	2	c	ĊŤ	÷	ֈ	Т	G	č	C G	÷	G	÷ à	сŤ	G	÷.	GT	÷	ċ	A 6	Δ	G	G		i c	÷	G	6 0		÷ į		GT	č
		1	1 1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1 1	1 1	1	1	1 1	4	1	1 1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1 1	1 1	-1
Site number	2	2:	33	32	3:	3 3 4 5	36	37	3389	4	4	4	4 4 3 4	4 4	4	4 4	4 4 3 9	5	5 1	5 5 2 3	54	55	5 5	5578	59	6	6	6623	64	6 6	666 678	69
HM545901 H. guangdongensis	Α	G	CA	т	G	СТ	T	т	CG	G	Α.	т	GC	C	Τ.	ΤТ	С	A	тс	G	G	G 1	r c	ЪT	С	С	G	G A	G	CG	GA	C
AF029813 H. frontilatum	A	G	CA	т	G	СТ	т	? (	CG	G	A '	т	GC	c	т :	Т?	С	A	тс	G	G	G 1	Г	ЪT	С	C	G	G A	G	CG	GA	c
H. epinepheli	Α	G	CA	т	G	СТ	Т	т	CG	G	A	т	GC	c	Т	ΤТ	С	A	тс	G	G	G 1	го	ЪT	С	C	G	G A	G	CG	GA	c
Site number	1 7 0	1 7 1	1 1 7 7 2 3	1 7 4	1 7 7 7	1 1 7 7 6 7	1 7 8	1 7 9	11 88 01	1 8 2	1 8 3	1 8 4	1 1 8 8 5 6	1 1 3 8 5 7	1 8 8	1 8 9	1 1 9 9 0 1	1 9 2	1 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	1 1 9 9 1 5	1 9 6	1 9 7	1 9 9 8 9	1 2 9 0 9 0	2 0 1	2 0 2	2 0 3	22 00 45	2 0 6	2 2 0 0 0 7 8	2 2 2 0 0 1 3 9 0	211
HM545901 H. guangdongensis	G	G	CA	С	G '	ГΤ	T.	A	СТ	т	T	G /	A A	C	A	AA	Т	Т	ТС	A	G	т	GC	; т	С	A	AA	A G	C,	A G	GC	С
AF029813 H. frontilatum	G	G	CA	С	G '	ΓТ	т	A	ст	т	T	G /	AA	C	A	AA	Т	т	то	A	G	т	GC	т	С	A	AA	A G	C.	A G	2 0	c
H. epinepheli	G	G	CA	С	G '	ΓТ	Τ.	A	ст	т	T	G /	AA	C	A	AA	Т	т	то	A	G	т	GO	т	С	A	AA	A G	C,	A G	GC	c
	2	2 :	2 2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	22	2	2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2 3	2 2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	2	22	2	2 2	222	2
Site number	1	1	1 1	1	1	1 1	2	2	22	2	2	2	2 2	2 2	3	3 :	3 3	3	3 :	3 3	3	3	4 4	4 4	4	4	4	44	4	4 5	555	5
	2	3 .	4 5	6	7 8	3 9	0	1	23	4	5	6	78	3 9	0	1 :	2 3	4	5 6	5 7	8	9	0 .	1 2	3	4	5	67	8	9 1	23	4
HM545901 H. guangdongensis	G	Т	AG	Α	G	СС	Т	G /	AA	A	A	G 1	гс	: Т	T	GC	: A	т	GO	A	Α	T/	A A	۲ I	G	A	AA	ΑT	A	GG	AC	Т
AF029813 H. frontilatum	G	Т	AG	Α	G	СС	Т	? /	AA	A	A	G 1	гс	т	T	GC	: A	т	G	A	Α	т /	AA	۲	G	A	AA	A T	A	GG	AC	т
H. epinepheli	G	Т	AG	Α	G	СС	Т	G /	AA	A	A	G 1	гα	т	т	GC	: A	т	G	A	Α	т /	AA	۲ I	G	A	AA	ΑT	A	GG	AC	т
	2	2 3	2 2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	22	2	2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2 3	2 2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	2	22	2			
Site number	5	5	55	5	5 (	6 6	6	6	66	6	6	6	6 7	7	7	7	77	7	7	77	8	8	8 8	8 8	8	8	8	88	9			
	5	6	78	9	0	1 2	3	4	56	7	8	9	0 1	1 2	3	4 :	56	7	8 9	9 0	1	2	3 4	4 5	6	7	8	90	1			
HM545901 H. guangdongensis	т	C	GG	т	Т	СТ	A	Τ.	ΤТ	т	G	Τī	ΓG	G	Τ.	ΤТ	Т	С	G	A	т	CO	0 0	A 6	Α	G	T /	A A	Т			
AF029813 H. frontilatum	т	C	GG	т	т	СТ	Α	T '	ΤТ	т	G	TΊ	ΓG	G	Τ.	ΤТ	т	С	G	A	т	CO	0 0	A	Α	G	тμ	AA	Т			
H. epinepheli	Т	C	GG	т	т	СТ	Α	т :	ΤТ	т	G	TΙ	ΓG	G	Τ.	ΤТ	т	С	G	A	т	CO	0 0	A 6	Α	G	тμ	A A	T			

Fig. 5. Alignment of V4 fragment of ribosomal 18S rRNA gene 291 bp in length of Hysterolecithoides species. Variable site no. 108 is indicated in grey.

been reported for Salmonidae, but mostly this species is detected in clupeiforms (Skrjabin, 1954). The other two species from the first subclade, L. sayori and Lecithaster sp., have been reported only in Hemiramphidae and Siganus, respectively. Different levels of specificity for definitive host species for trematodes from the first subclade is a possible reason for higher molecular differences between these species in comparison with trematodes from the other two internal subclades of Lecithaster. However, further studies are necessary to clarify this question. Unfortunately, most reports of Lecithaster representatives in different fish species are not supported genetically; species identification is usually performed only with morphometric data. These circumstances, along with the morphological similarity of specimens within the genus and possible intraspecific morphological variations, decrease confidence in trematode species identification. Thus, in spite of some molecular evidence of host-switching processes for Lecithaster species, there are not enough data for representatives of this genus to confirm or reject this hypothesis.

## Molecular-based restoration of Bunocotylidae Dollfus, 1950

Molecular-based phylogenetic reconstructions of Hemiuridae and Lecithasteridae are difficult to interpret with regards to previous taxonomic studies that concerned these families (Blair *et al.*, 1998; León-Règagnon *et al.*, 1998; Olson *et al.*, 2003; Pankov *et al.*, 2006; Atopkin *et al.*, 2017). However, in these studies

some molecular data from Lecithasteridae were omitted during phylogenetic analyses. Namely, nucleotide sequences of 28S and ITS rDNA from three species of Quadrifoliovariinae Yamaguti, 1965 were used once for studying phylogeny, evolution and biogeography of this subfamily (Chambers and Cribb, 2006). Including 28S-rDNA-based Bayesian phylogenetic reconstructions of Hemiuroidea, we found four clades for members of the Hemiuridae and Lecithasteridae. Clade I consisted of Hemiuridae representatives and clade II included species of the genus Lecithaster, which appeared as a sister to the Hemiuridae. Aponurus and Lecithophyllum (Lecithasteridae) were closely related to each other within clade III. Thus, the genus Lecithaster differs considerably from Aponurus and Lecithophyllum by molecular data, although these three genera belong to the Lecithasterinae. These results agree with Skrjabin (1954); this author considered the genera Aponurus and Lecithophyllum to be in same subfamily, Lecithophyllinae, separate from Lecithaster (Lecithasterinae) based on a different vitellarium structure. Clade IV combined members of the lecithasterid subfamilies Quadrifoliovariinae and Hysterolecithinae and the hemiurid Opisthadeninae and Bunocotylidae with high statistical support. This clade differs from other clades at the level of a distinct family. Within this clade, Quadrifoliovariinae and Hysterolecithinae were closely related to the Opisthadeninae and Bunocotylidae, respectively. However, these relationships were poorly supported, a result that indicates a lack of molecular data for other species of these subfamilies.



Fig. 6. Phylogenetic relationships of Hemiuroidea obtained with the Bayesian algorithm based on partial 28S rRNA gene sequences. Nodal numbers are posterior probabilities that indicate statistical support of phylogenetic relationships.

Nevertheless, this clade included the hemiurid subfamily Opisthadeninae, which was thought to have a controversial taxonomic status (León-Règagnon *et al.*, 1998; Pankov *et al.*, 2006), and restored family Bunocotylidae, which includes the genera *Bunocotyle* Odhner, 1928, *Robinia* Pankov, Webster, Blasco-Costa, Gibson, Littlewood, Balbuena & Kostadinova, 2006 and *Saturnius* Manter, 1969. Later studies have accumulated evidence that the family Bunocolylidae Dollfus, 1950 is valid (Atopkin *et al.*, 2017; Faltýnková *et al.*, 2017; Sokolov *et al.*, 2018b). However, we conclude that trematodes of Quadrifoliovariinae and Hysterolecithinae, along with Opisthadeninae, belong to the family Bunocolylidae, which differs considerably from both Hemiuridae and Lecithasteridae based on molecular data.

Author ORCIDs. 🔟 D.M. Atopkin 0000-0001-8417-3424.

**Financial support.** This study was supported by a grant from the Russian Scientific Foundation (no. 17-74-20074).

Conflict of interest. None.

## References

- Anderson GR and Barker SC (1998) Inference of phylogeny and taxonomy within the Didymozoidae (Digenea) from the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) of ribosomal DNA. Systematic Parasitology 41, 87–94.
- Atopkin DM et al. (2017) Phylogenetic relationships of Hemiuridae (Digenea: Hemiuroidea) with new morphometric and molecular data of Aphanurus mugilis Tang, 1981 (Aphanurinae) from mullet fish of Vietnam. Parasitology International 66, 824–830.
- Besprozvannykh VV et al. (2017) Morphometric and molecular analyses of two digenean species in mugilid fish: Lecithaster mugilis Yamaguti, 1970

from Vietnam and *L. sudzuhensis* n. sp. from southern Russian Far East. *Journal of Helminthology* **91**, 326–331.

- Blair D, Bray RA and Baker SC (1998) Molecules and morphology in phylogenetic studies of the Hemiuroidea (Digenea: Trematoda: Platyhelminthes). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 9, 15–25.
- Bray RA and Cribb TH (2000) The status of the genera Hysterolecithoides Yamaguti, 1934, Neotheletrum Gibson & Bray, 1979 and Machidatrema León-Règagnon, 1998 (Digenea: Hemiuroidea), including a description of M. leonae n. sp. from Australian waters. Systematic Parasitology 46, 1–22.
- Bray RA, Cribb TH and Barker SC (1993) The Hemiuroidea (Digenea) of pomacentrid fishes (Perciformes) from Heron Island, Queensland, Australia. Systematic Parasitology 24, 159–184.
- Calhoun DM et al. (2013) Hirudinella ventricosa (Pallas, 1774) Baird, 1853 represents a species complex based on ribosomal DNA. Systematic Parasitology 86, 197–208.
- Carreras-Aubets M et al. (2011) A new cryptic species of Aponurus Looss, 1907 (Digenea: Lecithasteridae) from Mediterranean goatfish (Teleostei: Mullidae). Systematic Parasitology 79, 145–159.
- Chambers CB and Cribb TH (2006) Phylogeny, evolution and biogeography of the Quadrifoliovariinae Yamaguti, 1965 (Digenea: Lecithasteridae). *Systematic Parasitology* **63**, 61–82.
- Claxton AT et al. (2017) Parasites of the vermilion snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens (Cuvier), from the western Atlantic Ocean. Comparative Parasitology 84, 1–14.
- Cribb TH et al. (2001) Relationships of the Digenea evidence from molecules and morphology. In Littlewood DTJ and Bray RA (Eds), Interrelationships of Platyhelminthes. London: Taylor & Francis, pp. 186–193.
- Darriba D et al. (2012) jModeltest2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**, 772.
- Faltýnková A et al. (2017) Biodiversity and evolution of digeneans of fishes in the Southern Ocean. In Klimpel S, Heinz T and Mehlhorn K (*Eds*), *Biodiversity and Evolution of Parasitic Life in the Southern Ocean*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, pp. 49–75.
- Gibson DI (2002) Famali Lecithasteridae Odhner, 1905. In Gibson DI, Jones A and Bray RA (*Eds*), *Keys to the Trematoda*, Vol. 2. Wallingford: CAB International, pp. 381–396.
- Huelsenbeck JP *et al.* (2001) Bayesian inference of phylogeny and its impact on evolutionary biology. *Science* **294**, 2310–2314.
- Kumar S, Stecher G and Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33, 1870–1874.
- León-Règagnon V (1998) Machidatrema n. gen. (Digenea: Hemiuridae: Bunocotylinae) and phylogenetic analysis of its species. Journal of Parasitology 84, 140–146.
- León-Règagnon V, Pérez-Ponce de León G and Brooks DR (1998) Phylogenetic analysis of the Bunocotylinae Dollfus, 1950 (Digenea: Hemiuridae). *Journal of Parasitology* **84**, 147–152.
- Littlewood DTJ and Olson PD (2001) Small subunit rDNA and the Platyhelminthes: signal, noise, conflict and compromise. In Littlewood DTJ and Bray RA (*Eds*), *Interrelationships of Platyhelminthes*. London: Taylor & Francis, pp. 262–278.
- Liu S et al. (2010) Digenean parasites of Chinese marine fishes: a list of species, hosts and geographical distribution. Systematic Parasitology 75, 1–52.
- Luton K, Walker D and Blair D (1992) Comparisons of ribosomal internal transcribed spacers from two congeneric species of flukes (Platyhelminthes: Trematoda: Digenea). *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **56**, 323–327.
- Machida M (2003) Additional two species of digenean trematodes from mullet of Southern Japan. *Bulletin of Natural Science Museum* **29**, 125–129.
- Manter HW (1969) Some digenetic trematodes of marine fishes of New Caledonia. Part IV. Hemiuridae and summary. *Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington* **36**, 194–204.
- Manter HW and Pritchard MH (1960) Additional hemiurid trematodes from Hawaiian fishes. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 27, 165–180.
- Margolis L and Boyce NP (1969) Life span, maturation, and growth of two hemiurid trematodes, *Tubulovesicula lindbergi* and *Lecithaster gibbosus*, in

Pacific salmon (genus Oncorhynchus). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 26, 893–907.

- Marzoug D et al. (2014) A new species of *Saturnius* Manter, 1969 (Digenea: Hemiuridae) from Mediterranean mullet (Teleostei: Mugilidae). *Systematic Parasitology* 87, 127–134.
- **Olmo AP** *et al.* (2006) Description of *Wardula bartolii* n.sp. (Digenea: Mesometridae) and three newly recorded accidental parasites of *Boops boops* L. (Sparidae) in the NE Atlantic. *Systematic Parasitology* **63**, 99–109.
- Olson PD et al. (2003) Phylogeny and classification of the Digenea (Platyhelminthes: Trematoda). International Journal for Parasitology 33, 733-755.
- Overstreet RM (1973) Some species of Lecithaster Lühe, 1901 (Digenea: Hemiuridae) and related genera from fishes in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 92, 231–240
- Pan JP (1984) Two new genera and three new species of hemiurid and Maseniidae from from Kwangtung fishes. In Institute of Hydrobiology Academia Sinica (*Ed.*), *Parasitic Organisms of Freshwater Fish of China*. Beijing: Agricultural Publishing House, pp. 125–132 (in Chinese).
- **Pankov P** *et al.* (2006) *Robinia aurata* n.g., n. sp. (Digenea: Hemiuridae) from the mugilid *Liza aurata* with a molecular confirmation of its position within the Hemiuroidea. *Journal of Parasitology* **133**, 217–227.
- Pérez-del Olmo A et al. (2006) Descriptions of Wardula bartolii n. sp. (Digenea: Mesometridae) and three newly recorded accidental parasites of Boops boops L. (Sparidae) in the NE Atlantic. Systematic Parasitology 63, 97–107.
- Schrandt MN et al. (2016) Novel infection site and ecology of cryptic Didymocystis sp. (Trematoda) in the fish Scomberomorus maculatus. Journal of Parasitology 102, 297–305.
- Shen JW and Qiu ZZ (1995) Studies on the Trematodes of Fishes from Yellow Sea and the Bo Hai Sea. Beijing: Science Press (in Chinese).
- Skrjabin KI (1954) Family Lecithasteridae Skrjabin et Guschanskaya, 1954. In Skrjabin KI (Ed.) Trematodes of Animals and Man. Principles of Trematodology. Moscow: Akademiya Nauk Press, pp. 511–599 (in Russian).
- **Sokolov SG, Gordeev II and Atopkin DM** (2016) Redescription of trematode *Gonocerca muraenolepisi* Paruchin et Ljadov, 1979 (Hemiuroidea: Derogenidae), a body cavity parasite of Antarctic fishes, with a discussion of its phylogenetic position. *Invertebrate Zoology* **13**, 191–202.
- Sokolov SG et al. (2018a) Phylogenetic position of the genus Gonocerca Manter, 1925 (Trematoda, Hemiuroidea), based on partial sequences of 28S rRNA gene and a reconsideration of taxonomic status of Gonocercinae Skrjabin et Guschanskaja, 1955. Parasitology International 67, 74–78.
- **Sokolov SG** *et al.* (2018b) Phylogenetic analysis of the superfamily Hemiuroidea (Platyhelminthes, Neodermata: Trematoda) based on partial 28S rDNA sequences. *Parasitology*, in press. doi: 10.1017/S0031182018001941.
- Tkach VV et al. (2003) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of the Microphalloidea Ward, 1901 (Trematoda: Digenea). Systematic Parasitology 56, 1–15.
- Truett GE (2006) Preparation of genomic DNA from animal tissues. In Kieleczawa J (Ed.), The DNA Book: Protocols and Procedures for the Modern Molecular Biology. Sudbury, MA: Jones & Bartlett Publisher, pp. 33–46.
- **WoRMS Editorial Board** (2014) World Register of Marine Species. Available at http://www.marinespecies.org (accessed March 2014).
- Yamaguti S (1934) Studies on the helminth fauna of Japan. Part 2. Trematodes of fishes, I. *Japanese Journal of Zoology* 5, 249–541.
- Yamaguti S (1938) Studies on the helminth fauna of Japan. Part 21. Trematodes of fishes, IV. Japanese Journal of Zoology 8, 128–130.
- Yamaguti S (1940) Studies on the helminth fauna of Japan. Part 21. Trematodes of fishes. VII. Japanese Journal of Zoology 9, 35-108.
- Yamaguti S (1953) Parasitic worms mainly from celebs. Part 3. Digenetic trematodes of fishes, II. Acta Medica Okayama 8, 1–45.
- Yamaguti S (1970) Digenetic Trematodes of Hawaiian Fishes. Tokyo: Keigaku Publishing Co.