S5.6 Biodiversity and Conservation of Amphibians and Reptiles

Convenors: Natalia Ananjeva and Harold Heatwole agama@NA4755.spb.edu; natalia_ananjeva@yahoo.com; Harold_Heatwole@ncsu.edu

324

AN ESTIMATION OF HERPETOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION IN THE SOUTH RUSSIAN FAR EAST

Eduard V. Adnagulov¹ and Irina V. Maslova²

¹Institute of Water and Ecological Problems FEB RAS 65, Kim Yu Chen Str., Khabarovsk 680000, Russia; dv-herp@ivep. khv. ru ²Khankaisky State Nature Reserve, 10, Ershov Str., Spassk-Dalnii, Primorsky Krai 692245, Russia

Abstract

The herpetofauna of the continental Russian Far East is analysed, based on more than 700 sources as well as on original data.

Key words Russian Far East, amphibians, reptiles.

The South of the Russian Far East (RFE) includes the areas of the Middle and Lower Amur River (Amurskaya Region, Jewish Autonomous Region and part of Khabarovsk Krai), the basin of the Ussuri River (south of Khabarovsk Krai and the greater part of the Primorsky Krai), as well as river basins of the Sea of Japan.

Herpetological investigations in the RFE started about 150 years ago. More than 900 publications on amphibians and reptiles are known; 700 of them are about the southern RFE.

There are nine amphibian (Hynobiidae: Salamandrella keyserlingii, Onychodactylus fischeri; Bufonidae: Bufo gargarizans, B. raddei; Discoglossidae: Bombina orientalis; Hylidae: Hyla japonica; Ranidae: Rana amurensis, R. dybowskii, R. nigromaculata) and 15 reptilian species (Trionychidae: Pelodiscus sinensis; Lacertidae: Lacerta vivipara, Takydromus amurensis, T. wolteri; Colubridae: Amphiesma vibakari, Rhabdophis tigrina, Coluber spinalis, Dinodon rufozonatum, Elaphe dione, E. rufodorsata, E. schrenckii; Viperidae: Gloydius halys, G. intermedius, G. ussuriensis, Vipera sachalinensis), which are terrestrial and whose presence in the southern RFE is undoubted. Some other species have been described, probably ex errore.

Herpetological investigations seem incomplete. Information on systematics , distribution , morphology , biology , ecology , and abundance of different species must be included in terms of $\langle study | completeness \rangle$, as well as information on any changes due to human

impacts on the wild populations.

The species may be divided into groups according to the completeness of their study:

- Sufficiently studied: Salamandrella keyserlingii, Bufo gargarizans, Bombina orientalis, Rana dybowskii, Elaphe dione, Elaphe schrenckii, Gloydius intermedius, Gloydius ussuriensis;
- Insufficiently studied: Onychodactylus fischeri, Bufo raddei, Rana amurensis, Rana nigromaculata, Pelodiscus sinensis, Takydromus amurensis, Lacerta vivipara, Amphiesma vibakari, Rhabdophis tigrina, Elaphe rufodorsata, Gloydius halys, Vipera sachalinensis;
- Unstudied: Takydromus wolteri, Coluber spinalis, Dinodon ru fozonatum.

The species may be grouped according to distribution and abundance data as follows:

- Common (widely distributed): Salamandrella keyserlingii, Bufo gargarizans, Bufo raddei, Hyla japonica, Rana dybowskii, Rana amurensis, Rana nigromaculata, Lacerta vivipara, Rhabdophis tigrina, Elaphe dione, Gloydius halys, Gloydius intermedius, Gloydius ussuriensis, Vipera sachalinensis;
- Locally common: Bombina orientalis, Takydromus amurensis, Amphiesma vibakari, Elaphe schrenckii, Elaphe rufodorsata;
- Rare and endangered: Onychodactylus fischeri, Pelodiscus sinensis, Takydromus wolteri;
- \blacksquare Registered only once : *Elaphe taeniura , Dinodon ru fozonatum* .
- Doubtful: Rana rugosa, Eumeces latiscutatus,

Gecko japonicus.

The doubtful species are sometimes are included in the herpetofauna of the continental RFE (Emelianov, 1923, 1928; Terentiev & Chernov, 1949; Bannikov et al., 1977).

- Rana rugosa. Described by A. M. Nikol 'sky as Rana emeljanovi after A. A. Emelianov 's work in Manchuria (now Heilonjiang Province, China) (Emelianov, 1923, 1928). Probably not truly found in the RFE.
- Eumeces latiscutatus. There were a few published records of this lizard in the continental RFE (Nikol'sky, 1915; Terentiev & Chernov, 1949; and others). But within Russia it is known only from Kunashir Island (Kurile Islands).
- Gecko japonicus. A. M. Nikol 'sky (1915) and A. A. Emelianov (1923, 1928) wrote about some locations on the eastern shore of the Sikhote-Alin 'Range based on Dr. P. Burtsev 's collections in 1888, but there were no other specimens found. The specimens in Moscow University Zoological Museum (ZM-MU R-191) probably are tagged erroneously.
- Elaphe taeniura was found only once in Posiet (south of Primorsky Krai) in 1862. The main range of this species is very far from the RFE (Indochina, Eastern India). Its presence in North-Eastern China and on the Korean Peninsula has not been confirmed (Zhao & Adler, 1993).

This grouping is conditional and may vary with locality, e. g. boreal species (Lacerta vivipara, Vipera sachalinensis) are extremely rare in the south; common species in the Primorsky Krai become rare in the North (Bombina orientalis, Takydromus amurensis).

The brown frogs of East Asia, as well as those of RFE (Rana amurensis, R. chensinensis / dybowskii), have been intensively studied recently (Matsui et al., 1994, Tanaka-Uena et al., 1998). Continental Hyla japonica stepheni is considered by several authors to be a separate species from the one in Japan (Green & Borkin, 1993).

For some widely distributed species (B. raddei, R. amurensis, R. nigromaculata, Lacerta vivipara, Elaphe dione, Vipera sachalinensis) a few topics (morphology, abundance, ecology) are still poorly investigated.

Morphology and specific structure of the *Gloydius halys* — intermedius — ussuriensis complex and of $Vipera\ sachalinensis$ are insufficiently known yet, as are their distributions, especially within the limits of their ranges (the southern limits of V. sachalinensis are reached in the Middle Amur area), and there is

an intergradation zone with a closely related species (*Gloydius halys – intermedius*).

Recently, common species (Rana dybowskii, Pelodiscus sinensis, Elaphe schrenckii, Gloydius ussuriensis, Gloydius intermedius) are locally decreasing due to human activity (mainly by illegal collection).

Many herpetological investigations were conducted in the 15 protected areas (Zapovedniks) within the RFE, six of which have been established since 1987. Almost all amphibian and reptilian species of the region inhabit these reserves. Only *Dinodon rufozonatum* is found outside of the reserves.

Thus, the herpetofauna of the RFE remains incompletely studied. The most important topics of herpetological investigations in the future may be species 'origins and ecological adaptation, as well as the conservation of biodiversity within the RFE and its vicinity.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Vladimir M. Sapaev and Dr. Nikolay A. Ryabinin (IWEP FEB RAS, Khabarovsk) for their text revision and comments, as well as to Vladimir V. Bobrovsky (Kedrovaya Pad' Reserve) for additional data on the herpfauna in the south of Primorsky Krai. Also, we thank Mrs. Tatyana N. Tolkachova (IWEP FEB RAS) for her excellent help in translating and correcting the presenting paper.

References

Bannikov, A. G., I. S. Darevsky, V. G. Ishchenko, A. K. Rustamov, and N. N. Szczerbak. 1977. Key Guide to Amphibians and Reptiles of USSR fauna. Moscow: Prosveshchenile Publ. (in Russian).

Dolgikh A. M. 1993. Amphibians and reptiles. In: V. E. Sokolov (ed.). Verterbates of Bolshekhekhtsirsky Reserve. Moscow: Institute of Animal Evolutionary Ecolology Acad. Sci. USSR. 53:10-15 (in Russian).

Emelianov, A. A. 1923. Reptiles and Amphibians of Primorsky Krai. In: Primorye: the nature and economy. Vladivostok: Goskniga Publ. 128 – 140 (in Russian).

Emelianov , A. A. 1928. Instructions to Collecting of Amphibians and Reptiles. In : Works of young local-lore researchers circle. Vladivostok : Russian Geographical Society Publ. 2:3-10 (in Russian).

Green D. M. and L. J. Borkin. 1993. Evolutionary relationships of Eastern Palearctic brown frogs , genus Rana: paraphyly of the 24-chromosome species group and the significance of chromosome number change. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society. 109:1-25.

Kolobaev N. N., S. A. Podolsky and Yu. A. Darman. 2000. Influence of Zeysky Reservior on Terrestrial Vertebrates (amphibians, reptiles and mammals). Blagoveshchensk: Zeya Publ. (in Russian).

Laptev A. A., L. I. Makovkin, V. N. Medvedev, G. P. Sal 'kina and Yu. N. Sundukov. 1995. Cadastre of vertebrates of the Lazovsky Reserve: Annotated check-list of species. Vladivostok: Dalnauka Publ. (in Russian).

Lazareva , O. G. 2001. Peculiarities of the structure of the herpetocomplex inhabiting Komsomolsky Nature Reserve (Khabarovsk Territory). In: Ananjeva N. B. , I. S. Darevsky et al. (eds.), The Problems of Herpetology. Moscow: Moscow University Press , 159 – 162 (in Russian).

Matsui M., A. M. Bassarukin, K. Kasugai, S. Tanabe, and S. Takenaka. 1994. Morphological comparisons of brown frogs (genus Rana) from Sakhalin, Hokkaido and Primorye. Alytes. 12(1):1-14.

Tanaka-Ueno T., M. Matsui, T. Sato, S. Takenaka, and O. Takenaka. 1998. Phylogenetic relationships of brown frogs with 24 chromosomes from Far East Russia and Hokkaido assessed by mitochondrial cytochrome b gene sequences (Rana: Ranidae). Zoological Science. 15: P. 289 – 294.

Tarasov, I. G. 2001. Amphibians and Reptiles of Khingansky Reserve. In: Seledets, V. P., N. K. Ignatova et al. (eds). V Far-Eastern Conference on Nature Conservation Problems. Vladivostok: Dalnauka Publ., 276 – 278 (in Russian).

Terentiev, P. V., and S. A. Chernov. 1949. Key to Amphibian and Reptiles. 3rd edn. Moscow: Sovietskaya Nauka Publ. (in Russian).

Trilikauskas, L. A. 1999. On the herpetofauna of Bureinsky Reserve and the perspectives of its study. In: Voronov, B. A. et al. (eds.). Transactions of State Natural Reserve (Bureinskiy). Vladivostok, Khabarovsk: Dalnauka Publ. 1:75 – 78 (in Russian).

Voloshina I. V., S. V. Elsukov and A. N. Vdovin. 1999. Cadastre of vertebrates of the Sikhote-Alin Reserve and Northern Primorye: Check-list of species. Vladivostok: Dalnauka Publ. (in Russian).

Zhao Er-mi and K. Adler 1993. Herpetology of China. Oxford, Ohio (USA): SSAR.

325

PHYLOGENY AND BIOGEOGRAPHY OF THE WALL LIZARDS GENUS *EREMIAS* (REPTILIA: LACERTIDAE) OF CHINA

Xin Dai¹, Xiao-Mao Zeng², Bin Chen³ and Yue-Zhao Wang²

¹College of Bioscience & Biotechnology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, China; dxjjsea@yahoo.com.cn

²Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China

³Taishan Medical University, Taian 271000, China

Eremias is a genus of the family Lacertidae and typically adapted to surviving in arid areas such as deserts, hills and steppes. Eremias is mostly distributed in southeastern Europe, Africa, western Asia, and Central Asia. This Genus can be found at north latitude 53°, and some species are distributed in the north of China and Korea. There are 8 species in China, distributed in the north at the Qinlin Mountains and Huaihe River; in the south it reaches the Jianghuai Plain but doesn 't get across the Yangtze Rive. There are three major problems in the research of the Genus Eremias of China: (1) studies on chromosome and genes are very rare, (2) there are few studies on the phylogeny of Eremias, and (3) there were few data on the distribution of Eremias. The present study treats the taxonomy and phylogeny of Eremias from the standpoint of morphology, chromosomes and DNA, as well as from biogeography, in an attempt to explain its evolution.

First, the history of the taxonomy of *Eremias* in China and the latest research on Eremias are reviewed in brief. Then our researches on classification and cladistics are explained and listed:

Taxonomy

Morphology

Fifty-seven characters of 339 specimens of 78 popula-

tions have been examined. Of these characters, 30 were selected for data reduction of principal components, and 17 principal characters were found. Following, we carried out hierarchical cluster analysis based on the 17 characters, and the results show that the *Eremias* in China can be classified into three parts (1) *E. argus* and *E. brenchleyi* (2) *E. multiocellata*, *E. przewalskii*, and *E. arguta* and (3) *E. velox*, *E. vermiculata*, and *E. grammica*.

Cytology

Based on Giemsa-dyeing karyotypes and silver-staining bands of 15 populations from different localities in China belonging to 6 species of the genus Eremias, we found that all species studied have 19 pairs of chromosomes; the size of chromosomes reduces gradually and there are no marked differences between the arranged pairs of macrochromosomes except the last pair of microchromosome. The karyotype formula 2n=38=36I+2m with NF=38 occurs in E. argus, E. multiocellata, E. velox, E. arguta and E.grammica but it is different in E. vermiculata :2n=38 = 12V + 2sI + 22I + 2m with NF = 50. The NOR are all located on one small pair in females of E. velox, and E. arguta, in males of E. grammica and E. vermiculata, and in both sexes of E. multiocellata. We have not found multiple pairs of NOR. Having one pair of NOR may be common in Eremias and a trait of that genus. We speculate that