First insights into a DNA sequence based phylogeny of the Eurasian Jay *Garrulus glandarius*

A.Akimova¹⁾, E.Haring²⁾, S.Kryukov¹⁾, A.Kryukov¹⁾

¹⁾ Institute of Biology and Soil Science,

Far East Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia

²⁾ Museum of Natural History, Vienna, Austria

Received 23 April 2007

The Eurasian jay *Garrulus glandarius* Linnaeus, 1758 is a widespread Palearctic species which includes 33-35 more or less differentiated subspecies. These subspecies, combined into eight groups (Stresemann 1940; Vaurie 1959) were later classified in five morphologically and geographically defined groups (Goodwin 1986). Besides *G. glandarius*, two monotypic species belong to the genus: Lidth's jay *Garrulus lidthi* Bonaparte, 1850 (restricted to some southern Japanese Islands) and Black-headed jay *Garrulus lanceolatus* Vigors, 1831 (Himalayas).

Up to now, molecular phylogenetic studies including jays were published mainly for inter-species and inter-genus comparisons. Cibois and Pasquet (1999) investigated the phylogenetic relationships of 11 genera of Corvidae using sequence of the mitochondrial (mt) cytochrome b gene (cytb) as a molecular marker. In that analysis a close relationship of G. glandarius and the Siberian jay Perisoreus infaustus was rejected, a result which was confirmed later by Ericson et al. (2005) in an analysis based on one mt and two nuclear genes. These authors revealed a closer relationship of jays with several genera of the Old World corvids than to the monophyletic group of New World jays.

Besides those studies, no phylogenetic analyses were performed concerning interspecific relationships within the genus *Garrulus* or the intraspecific variation within *G. glandarius*. Therefore, the aim of the study was to provide insights into the phylogeography of jays and to assess intraspecific genetic variation and phylogeographic patterns. We analyzed sections of two mt sequences with different substitution rates: the *cytb* gene and the control region (CR). The latter one is in general considered as the faster evolving sequence and therefore was supposed to be especially useful for intraspecific studies (e.g. Kryukov *et al.* 2004). On the territory of Russia and adjacent countries, five subspecies are recognized (Stepanyan 2003): *G. glandarius glandarius* (Linnaeus, 1758), *G. glandarius brandtii* Eversmann, 1842; *G. glandarius iphigenia* Sushkin et Ptuschenko, 1914; G. glandarius krynicki Kaleniczenko, 1839; G. glandarius hyrcanus Blanford, 1873. Besides samples of these subspeices we included also G. glandarius japonicus Temminck et Schlegel, 1847 from Japan as well as G. lidthi. We wanted to find out whether the individuals cluster according to subspecies assignment (i.e., geographic origin) as well as the level of their genetic differentiation.

Material

The sample set included 26 specimens (Table 1) belonging to five subspecies covering a huge range from Western Europe to Japan: *G. g. glandarius* (distributed in Europe), *G. g. brandtii* (Asia), *G. g. krynicki* (Caucasus and Turkey), *G. g. iphigenia* (Crimea), *G. g. japonicus* (Japan), and one hybrid between *G. g. glandarius* and *G. g. krynicki* (taken from South Russia), and also *G. lidthi*. Samples of livers and muscles fixed in 96% ethanol and kept in -4°C were used. As an outgroup, we used the magpie *Pica pica* (sequence determined in a previous study: Kryukov *et al.* 2004) and the chough *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* (this study). Accession numbers of all sequences determined in this study as well as of published sequences are given in Table 1.

Methods

DNA-extraction was performed with the phenol-chloroform deproteinization method (Maniatis et al. 1982). The two marker sequences were analyzed in two different laboratories (IBSS, Vladivostok and NHMW, Vienna). A partial region of cytb (length of PCR product: 586 bp) was amplified at the IBSS employing several published primers used previously for corvid birds (L14827, H16065, Helm-Bychowski, Cracraft 1993; L14990, Kocher et al. 1989; H15916, Edwards et al. 1991; and SNL4 (L15196), Kryukov, Suzuki 2000). All these primers initiated amplification, but the most successful combination (yielding one clear fragment on the gel) proved to be L15916 (ATGAAGGGATGTTCTACTGGTTG) / H16065 (GGAGTCTTCAGTCTCTGGTTTACAAGAC). Polymerase chain reaction was carried out in a «Biometra» Thermocycler (USA) in 20 µl of reaction containing 2 µl of 10x buffer, 0.125 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM of each dNTP, 1 pmol of each primer, 60 ng of template DNA and 1 unit of Taq-DNA-polymerase. PCR was performed under the following conditions: 5 min of pre-denaturation at 94°C, 35 cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 95°C; primer annealing for 2 min at 55°C; elongation for 2 min at 72°C, and finally an elongation step for 7 min at 72°C before cooling to 4°C. The amplification products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gels before sequencing.

Automated sequencing was perfomed with an ABI Prism 310 (Applied Biosystems). Cycle sequencing of purified PCR products was performed with the BigDye Terminator kit (Applied Biosystems) and the primers SNL4 and H15916 at a final concentration of 1 pmol/µl. Conditions of cycle sequencing: 25 cycles of denaturation for 30 sec at 96°C, annealing for 10 sec at 55°C, and elongation for 4 min at 60°C, and finally cooling to 4°C. A partial section of the CR was amplified at the NHMW with the primers CR-Cor+ (ACCCTTCAAGTGCGTAGCAG) and Phe-Cor- (TTGACATCTTCAGTGTCATGC) as described previously (Kryukov *et al.* 2004). PCR products (length: ~ 680 bp) were extracted from 1% Agarose gels using the Quiaquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and cloned using the TOPO TA cloning Kit (Invitrogen). Sequening of both strands was performed by MWG Biotech (Germany) using M13 universal primers.

| Labcode | Geographic origin | Source / Marker collection number sequences | | Accession numbers | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Garrulus glandarius glandarius | | | | | | | |
| Gglagla1 Gglagla2 Gglagla3 Gglagla4 Gglagla5 Gglagla6 Gglagla7 Gglagla8 Gglagla9 Gglagla10 Gglagla11 Gglagla12 Gglagla13 Gglagla14 Gglagla15 Gglagla16 | Russia, Kirov Region Russia, Smolensk Region Russia, Moscow Region Russia, Moscow Region France Austria, Upper Austria Austria, Upper Austria GenBank | V.Sotnikov / 174 V.Sotnikov / 227 V.Sotnikov / 228 V.Sotnikov / 233 V.Sotnikov / 235 V.Sotnikov / 239 V.Sotnikov / 239 V.Sotnikov / 243 V.Sotnikov / 243 V.Sotnikov / 226 Ya.Red'kin / 126 Ya.Red'kin / 126 Ya.Red'kin / 128 V.Korbut / 175 M.Konovalova / 518 E.Pasquet / 381 A.Gamauf A.Gamauf Cibois Pasquet 1999 | cytb cytb cytb cytb cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb CR cytb CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb cytb, CR cytb cytb cytb cytb cytb cytb cytb cytb | EF602118 EF602119 EF602120 EF602121 EF602122 EF602123, EF602136 EF602124 EF602125 EF602125 EF602126, EF602139 EF602127 EF602128, EF602140 EF602141 EF602142 U86034 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Gglabra1 Gglabra2 Gglabra3 Gglabra4 Gglabra5 | Russia, Primorsky Region Russia, Primorsky Region Russia, Primorsky Region Russia, Amurskaya Region Russia, Primorsky Region | A.Kryukov / 354 A.Tsvetkov / 347 Ya.Red'kin / 346 N.Kolobaev / 239 V.Sotnikov / 351 | CR cytb cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb, CR cytb | EF602146 EF602131 EF602132, EF602147 EF602133, EF602148 EF602134 | | | |
| | Garrulus | glandarius iph | igenia | | | | |
| Gglaiph1 Gglaiph2 | Russia, Crimea pen. Russia, Crimea pen. | Ya.Red'kin / 747 V.Arhipov / 748 | CR CR | EF602144 EF602145 | | | |
| | Garrulus | glandarius kr | ynicki | | | | |
| Gglakry2 | Russia, Kislovodsk | Ya.Red'kin / 125 | cytb, CR | EF602130, EF602149 | | | |
| <i>G a r i</i> Gggxk1 | rulus glandarius ki Russia, Rostov Region | rynicki × G.gla G.Bahtadse/375 | andarius cytb, CR | g l a n d a r i u s EF602129, EF602143 | | | |
| Gglajap1 | <i>G a r r u l u s</i> Japan, Honshu | glandarius jap W.Neuner | o n i c u s cytb, CR | EF602135, EF602150 | | | |
| | G | arrulus lidthi | | | | | |
| Glid1 Glid2 | Japan, Riukiu Isl. GenBank | E.Pasquet / 383 Cibois, Pasquet 1999 | CR cytb | EF602151 U86035 | | | |
| Pica pica jankowskii | | | | | | | |
| Ppicjan5 | Russia, Primorsky Region | A.Kryukov / 714 | cytb, CR | AY701183, AY701171 | | | |
| Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax brachypus | | | | | | | |
| Gpyrbra1 Gpyrbra2 | Russia, Tuva Rep. Russia, Tuva Rep. | Ya.Red'kin / 104 A.Tsvetkov / 133 | CR CR | EF602152 EF602153 | | | |

Table 1. Specimens and sequences from GenBank used in the study.

Phylogenetic analysis

Experimental sequences and those obtained from the GenBank were aligned with the software SeaView (Galtier *et al.* 1996). Phylogenetic trees (NJ, MP and ML) were calculated with PAUP, version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). NJ trees were calculated with p-distances. MP trees were calculated by a heuristic search with a random taxon addition sequence (1000 replicates) and the TBR (tree bisection reconnection) branch swapping algorithm and delayed character transformation (DELTRAN). Gaps were treated as missing character. ML trees were calculated by a heuristic search with a NJ starting tree and TBR branch swapping using the GTR+ Γ model. For all tree calculating algorithms (NJ, MP and ML) a bootstrap analysis was performed with 1000 (NJ, MP) or 100 (ML) repeats, respectively. Pairwise P-distances and Kimura 2-parameter distances were calculated with the program DNASA (Kryukov *et al.*, in press). Average distances were calculated by hand from the tables obtained from DNASA.

Results and Discussion

The partial *cytb* sequence was isolated from 18 individuals comprising the four subspecies: *G. g. glandarius*, *G. g. brandtii*, *G. g. krynicki*, and *G. g. japonicus*. The partial sequence of the CR was determined from 16 *Garrulus* individuals. Besides the four subspecies mentioned above, the CR data set includes also two samples of *G. g. iphigenia*. Moreover, two *Garrulus* sequences from GenBank were included: Gglagla16 and Glid2 (*cytb*). *Pica pica* and *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* were used as outgroup: Ppicjan5 (*ctyb*, CR; sequences from Kryukov *et al.* 2004) and Ppyrbra1, 2 (CR; this study). Lengths of the alignments were 586 bp for *cytb* (about half of the total gene, 1143 bp) and 677 bp for the CR.

Within the *cytb* sequences no insertions or deletions were found. Nucleotide frequencies for the *cyt b* gene were: A = 0.2942, T = 0.2272, G = 0.1120, C = 0.3666. The G-criterion was calculated as 1.0922 which means equal nucleotide dispersion within the section studied. As expected, synonymous transitions at the third codon positions were found as the most common substitution type, which is in agreement with published data for many animals. Among birds, up to 78% of informative substitutions are located in the third codon position (Helm-Bychowski, Cracraft 1993).

The neighbor-joining tree based on the *cytb* sequences is shown in Fig. 1. The MP and ML trees calculated from this data set have in general the same topology with the four subspecies of *G. glandarius* separated in four clusters (bootstrap values of all analyses are shown in Fig. 1 as NJ/MP/ML). Among them, the most basal split separates *G. g. japonicus* from the mainland taxa. *G. g. brandtii* splits off from the next node. Finally, a clade comprising the 12 individuals of *G. g. glandarius* is the sister group of the *G. g. krynicki* clade which includes also a hybrid between *G. g. glandarius* and *G. g. krynicki*. The hybrid origin of this phenotypi-

cally intermediate bird was approved by Ya.Red'kin (pers. comm.). Within subspecies, haplotype variation is rather low which is especially interesting for the nominate race the samples of which originated from quite distant European regions, i.e. Kirov (East European Russia), Austria and France.



0.5%

Fig. 1. NJ tree based on cyt b sequences of Garrulus glandarius, G. lidthi and P. pica (outgroup). Bootstrap values >50% are depicted at the nodes (NJ, MP, ML). Labcodes of specimens correspond to those in Table 1.

The NJ tree calculated on the basis of the CR data set is very similar to the *cytb* tree (Fig. 2). It includes also G. g. *iphigenia* which is part of the G. g. glandarius clade. The order of splits is the same as in the cytb tree.

The fact that the Japanese subspecies splits from the basal node in both trees is in accordance with the hypothesis about the first appearance of corvids in South East Asia from Australia (Sibley, Ahlquist 1985). In this context the origin of the genus Garrulus itself may have been located in South East Asia.



Fig. 2. NJ tree based on CR sequences of *Garrulus glandarius*, *G. lidthi* and the outgroup species *Pica pica* and *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*. Bootstrap values >50% are depicted at the nodes (NJ, MP, ML). Labcodes of specimens correspond to those in Table 1.

For both data sets we calculated average K2P distances between the four clades: G. g. glandarius including G. g. iphigenia (its distance to G. g. glandarius of 0.3% in the CR is neglectable), G. g. krynicki, G. g. brandtii, and G. g. japonicus (Tables 2 and 3). For comparison the p-distances are also shown. The differentiation of G. g. japonicus and G. g. brandtii is quite pronounced in both data sets. Average K2P distances between G. g. japonicus and the mainland subspecies range from 5.3-6.1 (cytb) and 4.4-4.9 (CR), for G. g. brandtii the respective values are 2-2.7 (cytb) and 2.6-2.8 (CR). This differentiation corresponds well with the distinct geographic distribution of these two subspecies in the Russian Far East and Japan respectively. In contrast, the differentiation between G. g. krynicki and G. g. glandarius is lower (1% in cytb and 2% in CR).

The average K2P distance between *G. lidthi* and *G. glandarius* is 12.3-14.9 in *cytb*, which is in the same range as the divergence of the outgroup genus *Pica* (14-16.6%). This underlines (1) that the mt lineages of the two species have split long ago and (2) that the *cytb* gene clearly has reached saturation for this level of divergence. This obviously is not the case in the CR data set as becomes apparent when comparing the dis-

tances between *G. glandarius*, *G. lidthi*, and the outgroup taxa (Table 3). For example, the distances between *Pica pica* vs. ingroup are twice as high as those found for *G. glandarius* vs. *G. lidthi*.

Table 2. Average genetic distances between the four clades of Garrulus glandarius,
G. lidthi and the outgroup Pica pica, calculated from cytochrome b sequences.
Kimura 2-parameter distances are above diagonal, p-distances below diagonal.
All codon positions considered

| | Gglagla | Gglakry | Gglabra | Gglajap | Glid | Ppic |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| Gglagla | | 1.0 | 2.72 | 5.34 | 14.24 | 15.28 |
| Gglakry | 0.99 | | 2.04 | 5.01 | 14.32 | 15.54 |
| Gglabra | 2.66 | 2.0 | | 6.08 | 14.90 | 16.58 |
| Gglajap | 5.09 | 4.78 | 5.75 | | 12.34 | 14.88 |
| Glid | 12.57 | 12.63 | 13.11 | 11.09 | | 13.99 |
| Ppic | 13.62 | 13.82 | 14.64 | 13.31 | 12.63 | |

Table 3. Average genetic distances between the four clades of Garrulus glandarius,
G. lidthi and the outgroup Pica pica and Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax,
calculated from Control Region sequences.

Kimura 2-parameter distances are above diagonal, p-distances below diagonal

| | Gglagla | Gglakry | Gglabra | Gglajap | Glid | Ppyrbra | Ppic |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| Gglagla | | 2.03 | 2.79 | 4.69 | 18.53 | 30.48 | 35.97 |
| Gglakry | 1.99 | | 2.62 | 4.86 | 18.06 | 30.69 | 36.37 |
| Gglabra | 2.72 | 2.56 | | 4.36 | 18.18 | 29.26 | 36.40 |
| Gglajap | 4.51 | 4.66 | 4.19 | | 18.65 | 28.67 | 35.77 |
| Glid | 16.34 | 15.97 | 16.07 | 16.42 | | 30.22 | 33.64 |
| Ppyrbra | 25.0 | 25.14 | 24.21 | 23.82 | 24.85 | | 31.31 |
| Ppic | 28.53 | 28.77 | 28.8 | 28.4 | 27.07 | 25.59 | |
| | | | | | | | |

Which general conclusions can we draw from our data? There is a deep interspecific divergence of the two Palearctic jays, G. glandarius and G. *lidthi*, which is in the range of differentiation among many bird genera (Moore, DeFilippis 1997). Within G. glandarius there is a subspecific differentiation at the genetic (mt) level with the exception of G. g. iphigenia. The differentiation of G. g. japonicus and G. g. brandtii could be easily explained with a longer lasting (or repeated) isolation of these subspecies during the Pleistocene. Nevertheless, the differentiation is not so pronounced as found for the west-east divergence in other corvid taxa (e.g., P. pica, Corvus corone, C. frugilegus – Haring et al. submitted). Concerning the western subspecies one could interpret the trees at first sight in the following way: G. g. krynicki and G. g. glandarius are differentiated and the hybrid bird (Gggxk) has originated from a cross between a female G. g. krynicki and a male G. g. glandarius. Nevertheless, the sample size is too low to draw such conclusions, especially concerning the differentiation between the G. g. glandarius and G. g. krynicki clades, which appear very closely related. It also has to be taken into consideration that some members of both clades come from geographic localities that are rather close compared to the rest of the European samples: Crimea (Gglaiph1, 2) and Rostov Region (Gggxk) in South Eastern Europe and Kislovodsk (Gglakry) in the North Caucasus region, respectively. Without analyzing much bigger samples of the subspecies one cannot rule out the possibility that the differentiation of *G. g. glandarius* and *G. g. krynicki* on the basis of mt sequences is just a sampling artifact.

The present data can be regarded as a first survey of the genetic diversity within *G. glandarius*. Our future aim is to analyze a broader sample covering the whole Palearctic which probably will be possible only with the inclusion of museum specimens into the study. This will enable us to elucidate the phylogeographic history of this species in more detail and to compare it to genetic patterns found in other widespread corvid birds.

A cknowledgements

Authors are grateful for Ya.Red'kin, V.Sotnikov, G.Bahtadse, V.Arhipov, A.Tsvetkov, N.Kolobaev, M Konovalova, V.Korbut for providing us with the samples from Zoological Museum of the Moscow University. We thank also A.Gamauf (NHM Vienna, Austria), E.Pasquet (MNHN Paris) and W.Neuner (TLF, Innsbruck, Austria) for providing samples. The study was supported by the Program of RAS «Dynamics of genpools».

References

- Cibois A., Pasquet E. 1999. Molecular analysis of the phylogeny of 11 genera of the Corvidae // Ibis 141: 297-306.
- Edwards S.V., Arctander P., Wilson A.C. 1991. Mitochondrial resolution of deep branch in the genealogical tree for perching birds // Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 243: 99-107.
- Ericson P.G.P., Jansen A.-L., Johansson U.S., Ekman J. 2005. Inter-generic relationships of the crows, jays, magpies and allied groups (Aves: Corvidae) based on nucleotide sequence data *#J. Avian Biol.* **36**: 222-234.
- Galtier N., Gouy M., Gautier C. 1996. SEAVIEW and PHYLO_WIN: two graphic tools for sequence alignment and molecular phylogeny // Comput. Appl. Biosci. 12: 543-548.

Goodwin D. 1986. Crows of the World. 2nd ed. Univ. Washington Press: 1-229.

- Helm-Bychowski K., Cracraft J. 1993. Recovering phylogenetic signal from DNA sequences: relationships within the Corvine assemblage (class Aves) as inferred from complete sequences of mitochondrial cytochrome-b gene *# Mol. Biol. Evol.* 10: 1196-1214.
- Kocher T.D., Thomas W.R., Meyer A., Edwards S.V., Paablo S., Villablanca F.X.
 Wilson A.C. 1989. Dynamic of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: Amplifications and sequencing with conserved primers *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 86: 6196-6200.
- Kryukov A., Iwasa M.A., Kakizawa R., Suzuki H., Pinsker W., Haring E. 2004. Synchronic east-west divergence in azure-winged magpies (*Cyanopica cyanus*) and magpies (*Pica pica*) #J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Research 42: 342-351.

- Kryukov A.P., Suzuki H. 2000. Phylogeography of carrion, hooded and jungle crows (Aves, Corvidae) inferred from partial sequencing of the mitochondrial cytochrome *b* gene *#Rus. J. Genetics* **36**: 922-929.
- Kryukov S.A., Kryukov K.A. Original software DNASA for analysing DNA-sequences. In press.
- Maniatis T., Fritsch E., Sambrook J. 1982. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York: Cold Spring Harbor.
- Moore W.S., DeFilippis V.R. 1997. The window of taxonomic resolution for phylogenies based on mitochondrial cytochrome b // Avian molecular evolution and systematics / D.R. Mindell (ed.). London: 83-113.
- Sibley C.G., Ahlquist J.E. 1985. The phylogeny and classification of the Australo-Papuan passerine birds #*Emu* 85: 1-14.
- Stepanyan L.S. 2003. Conspectus of the ornithological Fauna of Russia and adjacent territories (within the borders of the USSR as a historic region). Moscow: 1-808 (in Rus.).
- Streseman E. 1940. Discussion and review of A.Kleiners Systematic Studien uber die Corviden // Ornithol. Monatsber. 48: 102-104.
- Swofford D.L. 2002. PAUP* Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other methods). Vers. 4.0b6-10. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer.
- Vaurie C. 1959. The Birds of Palearctic Fauna: Passeriformes. London: 1-762.

80 03